(1)

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 4311 /2004

PETITIONER

Dhiraj Ram Yadav, son of Shri Giran Lal Yadav, aged about 52 years, previously Upper Division Teacher (on ad-hoc basis), Government Higher Secondary School, Baloda, Akaltara, Distt. Janjgir-Champa, resident of village – Khisora, Tah. Janjgir, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (CG)

P.R. No. 3551/04
Presented by Shri ... P. Tiwari
dated 25-9-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

2. The Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

3. The Collector, Bilaspur (CG)

4. The District Education Officer, Education Distt. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

5. The District Education Officer, Education Distt. Janjgir-Champa, (Chhattisgarh)

6. The Union of India, Ministry of Home Affairs (Reorganization Cell), Khan Market, New Delhi.

6. The State of Madhya Pradesh through : the Secretary, Department of Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)

Attested
17/11/05
deleted as per court order dated 13/11/05

RECEIVED COPY
29.9.04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

Clerk to Shri
VINAY MARU
Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

(2)

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4311 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	13/01/2005: - Shri A.N. Bhakta learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, learned Dy. Govt. Advocate, for the State/respondents 1 to 5. Shri S.K. Beriwal learned counsel for respondent No.6. None for respondent No.7. Heard on I.A. No.8714 of 2004 which is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board. Hence, I.A. No.8714 of 2004 stands disposed of. Also heard on I.A. No.8827 of 2004 which is an application for deleting the name of respondent No.6 (Union of India). This interim application is also allowed. Union of India, arrayed as respondent No.6 be deleted from the cause-title of the petition. In consequence, respondent No.7 be shown as respondent No.6. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had earlier worked as an ad hoc teacher in the Education Department. This matter relates to re-appointment of the petitioner. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before respondent No.2 i.e. Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.), which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Learned counsel also refers to an order dated 28/10/2004 passed in Writ Petition No.3637 of 2004.	

(48)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4311 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having considered the facts and circumstances of this case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice would be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before respondent No.2, Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalaya along with a copy of the petition and this order within a period of fifteen days and on such representation being filed, respondent No.2, Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalaya shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed of. C.C. after amendment only. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

Brajesh.

[पीछे देखिये